ORIGINAL



426

1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONTINUESSION

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES

BARRY WONG

6 IN THE MATTER OF:

7 Reserve Oil & Gas, Inc., a Nevada corporation

8 3507 North Central Avenue, Ste. 503 Phoenix, AZ 85012

Allen and Jane Doe Stout, Sr., husband and wife 1309 West Portland Street Phoenix, AZ 85007-2102

Allen and Jane Doe Stout, Jr., husband and wife

12 | 1309 West Portland Street Phoenix, AZ 85007-2102

Respondents.

2006 AUG 31 P 1: 33

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKET NO. S-20437A-05-0925

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

AUG 31 2006

DOCKETED BY

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 30, 2005, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Temporary Order to Cease and Desist ("T.O.") and a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Reserve Oil & Gas, Inc. (ROG"), Allen and Jane Doe Stout, Sr., and Allen and Jane Doe Stout, Jr. (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged that the Respondents committed multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of investment contracts. As a result of the T.O., the Respondents were immediately ordered to cease and desist from violating the Act.

Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

On January 19, 2006, Respondents filed a request for hearing. Subsequently, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled for February 7, 2006.

On February 7, 2006, the pre-hearing conference was convened as scheduled. The Division and Respondents were present with counsel. The Division requested another pre-hearing conference be scheduled and additional time be taken for the preparation of its case and further discovery. By

26

25

27

28

1

Procedural Order, another pre-hearing conference was scheduled for April 27, 2006.

On March 20, 2006, a Stipulation for Substitution of Counsel for Respondents was filed with the Commission.

On April 27, 2006, the Division appeared through counsel. Respondents did not enter an appearance. Subsequently, counsel for the Respondents advised the Hearing Division that prior counsel failed to advise him of the April 27, 2006, pre-hearing conference which had previously been scheduled.

On April 28, 2006, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled.

On May 25, 2006, the Division and Respondents appeared through counsel. The parties stipulated that another pre-hearing be scheduled in approximately 60 days during which time discovery and a possible resolution of the issues raised by the Notice could be discussed.

On May 26, 2006, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled for August 10, 2006. However this date caused a scheduling conflict and required rescheduling.

On July 26, 2006, by Procedural Order, the pre-hearing conference scheduled for August 10, 2006, was rescheduled to August 31, 2006.

On August 31, 2006, the Division and Respondents appeared through counsel. The Division requested that a hearing be scheduled because the parties had been unable to agree on a settlement of the issues raised in the T.O. and Notice.

Accordingly, a hearing should be scheduled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a hearing shall be held on November 7, 2006, at 9:30 a.m., at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall also set aside November 8 and 9, 2006, for additional days of hearing, if necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Division shall provide to Respondents copies of its exhibits and its list of witnesses by September 15, 2006, with courtesy copies provided to the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

26

27

28